Message

From: Steven Scharf [sxscharf@gw.dec.state.ny.us]

Sent: 1/30/2014 4:15:23 PM

To: Stein, Carol [Stein.Carol@epa.gov]

CC: John Swartwout [jbswarto@gw.dec.state.ny.us]; Steven Karpinski [sxk23@health.state.ny.us]; lora.fly@navy.mil;

edward.hannon@ngc.com

Subject: RE: Report.hw130003a.2013-06-28.MWD_TT102D2_Split_Sample_Results.pdf- Adobe Acrobat Standard

Distribution:

>>> "Stein, Carol" <Stein.Carol@epa.gov> 1/30/2014 10:49 AM >>>

EPA: Steve - Thanks again for the data. My understanding from our discussion yesterday, is that after a hit of TCE was found during this sampling round, the Navy redeveloped the well and sampled during two separate events, and that the results were non-detect for those two subsequent events.

DEC: Let's be careful about the term "hit." There was a low level detection, at or below the MDL, or Method Detection Limit of 0.5 ug/l or PPB of TCE making this essentially an estimated low level value. The Navy did at the DEC direction, redevelop the TT102 D2 well and then re-sampled. The concentration of TCE was non-detect for the second event.

There was controversy, raised mainly by the MWD, that now the sampling technique was somehow different. But in reality, the Navy installed the well, developed it and sampled it early last year. Once the low level detection of 0.5 ug/l was identified, the Navy was asked and agreed, to redevelop the well and sampled it a second time. The Navy then subsequently, at the direction of the DEC, agreed to sample TT102 D2 a third time at the end of the summer 2013. The results continue to be non-detect. I believe that NAVFAC supplied these results of the third round to the DEC, only I can't locate the PDF, so I requested NAVFAC to resend it.

EPA: Although I probably do have a copy of the results from the first sampling event after the well was redeveloped, when you have the chance would you please resend it to me (or you can let me know the date of the e-mail when it was sent and I can look it up). Thank you.

DEC: I will resend EPA the fist results of the first sampling.

EPA: Also, thank you for agreeing to set up a conference call among EPA, DEC, the Navy, and Grumman. I will try to find out next week's schedules for the EPA participants.

DEC: I will forward this email to all the parties to forward the request to discuss the offsite VPB program and initiate the process of setting up a conference call to discuss the VPB program.

Thanks, -Carol-

From: Steven Scharf <sxscharf@gw.dec.state.ny.us>

Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:41 PM

To: Stein, Carol

Subject: Report.hw130003a.2013-06-28.MWD TT102D2 Split Sample Results.pdf- Adobe Acrobat Standard

Steven M. Scharf, P.E.
Project Engineer
New York State Department of
Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Remediation
Remedial Action, Bureau A
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7015
(518)402-9620

Fax: (518)402-9022